

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/633,032

Applicant(s)

MEUWLY ET AL.

Examiner

Barbara J. Musser

Art Unit

1733

## All Participants:

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(2) Ed Weisz.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 29 November 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*12-14, 22, 23, 60-62, 70, and 71*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend the claims to remove "different" in claims 12-14 and 60-62 as it implied different length layers of precomposite were used rather than separate layers. Applicant agreed to amend claims 23 and 71 to clarify where the glass fiber was used. Applicant agreed to amend claims 22 and 70 to fix improper Markush language. Applicant wanted to amend the specification so that the summary of the invention agreed with the claimed subject matter and submitted amended specification pages which were attached to the examiner's amendment as an examiner's amendment to the specification.